G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No.

1916.

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT.

Enclosure in Despatch No. 29 of 1920

Previous papers

G.R.S. 141 — 1916 - 7

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Mens)

NOTES.

File No. 429-16

FILE HEADING.

Permission for the removal of the moolavare of the Prasanna Vaniśvara Swami at Kebbigar Koppa and the consecration of the same in a different place at Shimogaketteen.

From

Kilari Krishna Dis. of Shimogaketteen

No. Petn Dated 29.11.16 Received

Diary No. 876	Enclos. nil Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

Pal

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Solicits sanction to the removal of the moolavare of the above deity, and the consecration of the same in a new & independent temple proposed to be built by him on the vacant site, if approved belonging to the Petnr's palace, as it is stated to be admirable ~~NOTES AND ORDERS.~~ suited for the purpose, and begs for early orders in the matter as the temple in question is being considerably damaged by the floods

Note & orders

The Petnr says that he is prepared

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPL—35,000 15 6 12

Serial No.

the entire cost of the consecration ceremony as well as that of the construction of the proposed new temple, and in return he prays that the main lands endowed to the above temple may be granted to him in perpetuity in consideration of the heavy outlay he has to incur for the construction of the proposed new temple etc.

The whole question of the concessions involved in the petitioner's prayer were discussed at length in M 493-12 herewith put-up, & the petitioner was given an endorsement in this office No 921 dt 30.9.15 informing him that if he is prepared to build a new ~~temple~~ and independent temple at Seranga-patam or other well-inhabited place, Govt would consider ~~the question~~ his application on its merits.

The present application

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

876

AD 1231—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 191 6

Serial No.

appears to have been subd under the above Endorsl. The present site suggested by petr is stated to be alongside of both the channa; memorial Building & the Temple of Sree Gangadhareswara swamy at-Swarnapatam, please vide the rough Sketch of the application submitted* herewith petn dy 29.11.16 & the same date as the petn under ref.

Subt for orders

Sdy 4 The petitioner solicits a permission
27.1.17. early order first to remove the idol from the present place after performing the Kalakarshana ceremony & then to construct the new temple on the site proposed by him & shown in the rough sketch submitted by him.

5 May the opinion of the present Deputy Commr be obtained in the Matter?

ajm
29.1.17

Yr
hs
30.1.17

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

876

ND 12 3—GPL—60,000—1 12:16—S.

G. R. S.

C4

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 191 6

Serial No.	
	Subl- calling for the Dy Lers Opinion in the matter Subl for appl.— with a Bl. endorst & the Petr. Sdg 31.1.17 31/1/17
	& Appl dd 1.2.17
2	No: 2008 dy 8.2.17, 2 dy Comr of Mysore, calls for his Opinion in the matter
3	From, the Dy Commr, Mysore Divn, Letter no. 625 dy 28-9-917 Submits further report called for in Sl. 2.
	9 Both the Sub Divl officer and the Dy Commr are not in favr of allowing the removal of the Mulavara of Sri Prasanna Ranganadhaswamy Swami Temple at Subrayanakoppal, as prayed for by the Petr Krishna Jois.
	10 The inam lands endowed to the Temple were leased out to the Petr for an annual Jodi of Rs 168/- for 20 years as directed in G.O. no 5904-5 dy 30th June 1908- It is now reported that the Petr did not execute the necessary lease deed in favor of Govt

Fey 3-

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

and the prescribed fitta was not also paid by him, and that the Temple building has now fallen into disrepair. The Dy Commr reports that he has issued instructions to the Sub Divn officer of Tr. Rock to call upon the petr to show cause why he should not be deprived of the management of the Temple and its inam lands for reasons given above.

The question of shifting this Temple is dealt with at length in file no. 193 (first up) 19 9. The Revy. Secretary was of opinion that for reasons given, the removal of the Mulavaru to a busy locality was desirable. (Pages 9 & 10 of the office note of 7th Nov 1913-17) On a personal inspection, the late member was also of opinion that if the petr was willing to build a new independent Temple in Seringapatam or some other well inhabited place, his application might be considered. (9 of the office note of 7th Nov 1913-17) The Local authorities are not in favor of the removal, but do not assign any special reasons therefor.

The inam lands of the Temple were ordered to be leased out to the petr so far back as 30th June 1908. 19. 14

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1283—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 1/29 of 191 6.

Serial No.

It is astonishing that though nearly 10 years have passed, even a lease deed has not been obtained. In a petition addressed to the Dy Commr by one Rama Shastri on 7th May 916, it is bitterly complained that though the Dy. Commr directed the submission of a lease deed from the petr since 8 or 10 years ago, the Taluk authorities slept over the matter as the result of the undue interference.

2 The full amount due from the petr for 10 years past comes up to Rs 1680. A scale of exp. has been sanctioned and it is not known whether any one if so, what services are being rendered. It is complained in the petition of Rama Shastry refd to that one Nandya Narasimha Bhatta has twice been conducting "Abhisekam" of water for which he is paid 2 or 3 Rupees per mensem. The Dy. Commr reports that it is said that the petr has employed his own man on a pay of Rs 10/- per mensem for conducting service in the Temple.

4 The matter may be reserved for Secty's investigation at S. Patam

Submitted
N 937
21-11-16

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 1233—GPB—60,000—1 12-16—S

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 1916.

Serial No.

This is a case to show that the authorities concerned have not taken proper steps in the matter. It is not known what amount is at the credit of the instn. The Secy has inspected the place once on 5th Octr 1911. May the Jt D.C. be asked to report the result of his further enquiry & the file reserved for disposal on the Spot?

anns 16 26/11/17

1) The question of removal may be considered if the applicant deposits for the purpose of constructing a new Temple, adequate funds in the Treasury. 17 2) The applicant has not been a satisfactory lessee and he should be made to pay up arrears of rent at once.

Secy)

26/11/17

Secretary's orders:-

16 Enquire of the Talukh people, if need be by sending for the Partis

1) What is being spent on the Temple for nityakatle &c.?

2) What is being saved for repairs

3) Is the rent paid in and payments made by bills

Serial No.

4) what steps have been taken to attach Crops for failure?

Petitioner is Krishnaji is absent on long leave and so the party could not be sent for.

From enquiries personally made and the enclosed records it is ascertained that

1) Krishnaji is paying Rs10/- a month to the archak towards the part of the establishment and the Nityakarle expenses (Vide Deposition of the archak Narasinha Bhatta on 9.5.17)

Par of the archan	6—0—0
Jadmali	1-0—0.
Rice &c.	3-0—0.

The archak does not mention of any Nitchukarle utsavams being performed in the temple.

2) No information is available in the Dn office. as per Scale of Expenditure Sanctioned in G.O. No. 642-3 Rlf-81-09-2 Dt 2.9.1909 a sum of Rs 196/- (@ Rs 24-8-0 a year for 8 years) ~~Attn~~ ought to have accrued to the credit of the temple.

3) Payments are said to have been made by the Petitioner himself. The

NOTE—Contn. sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 1916.

Serial No.

Quit of Rs168/- fixed in Govt. order No. 5904-5 Dy 30th June 1908 was not realised in the Taluk and the lease deed regd. in the said order was also not executed by the Petitioners

4) In the last para of his letter now received, the Dy. Commr. states that instructions have been issued to call upon the petitioners to show cause why he should not be deprived of the management of the temple and the lands belonging thereto. It was ascertained from the Taluk office that action in accordance with these instructions was being taken.

Subd. chf 15/12/17

Record the DC's letter and request a further report on the final action taken in the matter

26-12-17

DC 27/12/17

Submit with a Dft order

BV 3-1-1918

Approved. DC 8/1/18

SD 7.1.18

NOTE—Contn. sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mag File No. 429 of 1916

Serial No.	
4	RO: 1584 Dy 11.1.18, 2 Dc of Mysore, records the above Communication requesting the final report & action taken in the matter for the info of Govt
5	From, the Dy Commr, Mysore Distt, Letter no. 625 Dy 6-9-18. Submits further report called for in Sl 4
	The Dy. Commr reports that Krishna jois lessee of the lands has paid up Rs 220-8-0 towards the temple repairs as prescribed in G.O. Dy 2nd Septr 1909 for the years from 1908-9 to 1917-18, and has given a muchalika agreeing to pay an annual sum of Rs 168/- for the lands in his enjoyment. As the temple is in urgent need of repairs, an estimate for Rs 1300 has been got up. As Krishna jois agrees to pay up this amount or get the repairs done at his cost, and as he has also on a former occasion spent Rs 1600/- for improving the temple lands, the Amildar &c

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

recommends the leasing out of the temple lands permanently on the survey assessment of Rs 112/, the jodi etc. on the lands being paid by the lessee himself as usual.

26 The Dy. Commr solicits orders of Govt in the matter.

As regards lease-deed, it is merely a muchalika executed by the lessee 10 years after the lands were ordered to be leased out to him. It is perhaps necessary to get a regn stamped lease deed from him duly registered.

In the scale of expr sanctioned to this temple, a sum of Rs 24-8-0 is provided for periodical repairs. The lessee has paid Rs 220-8-0 being the accumulated saving for 9 years past. He is perhaps bound to account for the balance viz (168×9 or 1512-220-8-0) 1291-8-0 especially seeing that there were complaints that worship was not being properly conducted in this temple.

27 It is not also clear whether the ~~pet~~ lessee was paying in addn to

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Inw3 File No. 429 of 1916

Serial No.

Taluk office, the annual fulla account stipulates by Govt, and whether the Talaka temple establishment was being paid through Taluk Office.

It is also a point for consideration whether the temple will have to be permanently deprived of even the full benefits from its lands on account of Rs 1600/- which the lessee is willing to advance for repairs. The Sub Divl officer has reported in July 1913 that the temple lands if leased out to advantage are sure to bring in an income of not less than Rs 700/- a year.

Submitted for orders.

19-11-16

The recommendations of the Dy Commr seem suitable & may perhaps be sanctioned

RG
12-11-16

This work do- We note must be have a som- Please make another attempt.

It is [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Muz J. 429-16

G.O. no 4357-60 Dy 6.4.15 and Dy. Comrs. letter no 529 Dy 16.18 referred to in the Asst Secretys order of 26.12.19 on page 13 the office note, and read in G.O no 705-8 Dy 18-1-1909, relates to a different temple viz Kona Ganapathiswara temple in the Nanjangud Taluk. (A copy the order of June 1909 had been produced as a case along with Krishna petition of July 1909)- If desired the original file will be taken out & put up.

(Vide Muz J. no. 424-08)

A typed copy of G.O. no 590 Dy 30-6-1908 relating to the temple in question, is also F. A Off. calling for a copy of D.C's letter no 1149 Dy 8-5-09 read in the order of June 09 is already on the file.

(Vide J no. 424-08)

Submitted for orders.

2-1-20

2.1.20

2.1.20

NB—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

4127 – 16 – 6

To Dy Comm. Jaipur with
D.O. 1971 A·7·120
Enquires to forward for
reference a copy of his
Offl. letter D.O. 5.1908"

From, Dy. Comm Neemuch
D.O.
Letter No 625 dt: 31-1-20
Submits a copy of his
letter noted by S.S. 1908
Subject: Vaccination
Submitted for orders

11.2020

N B Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied on slips pasted on this form

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 1916

Serial No.

(1) His ancestors Krishna Jois has been in possession of Inam lands endowed to the temple. The late Krishna Jois is reported to have spent Rs1600 on the improvement of the Inam lands & a fairly large amt for the repairs of the temple

(2) His prayer for the removal of the Mulavaru to another place has been refused. ~~The Inam lands have been leased to him for a sum of Rs 168 per year but~~ His present prayer is that the lands may be put into his possession on the conditions of para 1 of the assessment.

(3) The Dy Comr in his original letter No. 449 dt 8.5.08 was not in favor of this course and recommended that a lump sum suitable be stipulated according to local conditions not less than 7½ times the assessment & a lease granted for 20 years subject to renewal.

(4) The Inam lands were accordingly leased to him for an annual sum of Rs 168/- and a scale of rates fixed for them amt.

(5) The Dy Comr in his last letter No. 625 dt 6.9.19 says that the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1489

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mw File No. 429 of 1915.

Serial No.

Govt. spent a large amt on the repairs of the temple & on the inam lands and is prepared to spend Rs 1300 for the repairs of the temple building again and recommends at the instance of the local officers that the Gutta may be permanently reduced to Rs 112/ which is equal to a single assessment on the inam lands.

(2) The complications & the trouble which this course will give rise to are explained in the Dy Comr's original letter no 449 & 8.5.08. Further the scale of Extra Vance Rs for Rs 168/- per annum in G.O. No 642-3/Rev 81-09-2 & 2.9.09 will also have to be modified to the extent of Rs 112 per annum.

(3) The temple lands should be leased to the best advantage of the institution and the scale of Extra Vance already sanctioned to for the present. An estimate for the repairs of the temple should be prepared & sent up for sanction of Govt with proposals as to ways & means for meeting the cost.

If approved, a draft order & this Speech will be sent.

Rdy 12/2/20

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

The flags are all ~~all~~ fine -

50 Please flag the Mutchulika ref to as D. in Dr's letter of 16th Dec.

51 Put up also a clean Copy of it for ready ref.ce - to be appended to the Note -

52 Please put up a typed Copy of the order of June 1908 -

(from the Copy in the Ben-papers) for ready ref.ce.

C. Mills
24-2-20

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 1916

Serial No.

53 A typed copy of G.O of June 1908, and a clean copy of the Muchalika of Krishna jris executed on 12.7.18 are submd as directed

28.2.20

28/2/20

~~Hon Govt Strains for orders~~

~~Secy Stanley~~

54 In Pro No 5904-5 dy 30 June 08, the Govt sanctioned the Lan lease of the Inam lands of the Temple to the pr Krishna jris for an annual rental of Rs 168. (1½ times the assessment), subt the lessee pays in addn Rs 26 on account of Cess due Govt.

The original file is reported to be missed; a typed Copy in Telugu Words - A Copy thereof is appended for head office (Fl. V)

55 The Muchalika in respect of this lease has been taken by the Asst. on 12th July 18 - over 10 years after wards -. The lease is liable to terminate on at the end of 1927-8.

Original - Fl. D

Copy appended for head office Fl. W

56 In the meanwhile the Asst & the Sub Dr Officer have submitted a recommendation that the lessee permanently Amount may be reduced Rs 11.2 - the Amount of the Assessment - on the ground that Krishna jris is said to spend Rs 1300 for the repairs of the Temple -

~~permanently~~

NOTE—Contn sheet

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 1916.

In abstract of Dr's & appendix. Fl. i.

Serial No. 5

Reading the letter of Dr. dated 7.8 March 1908.

This recommendation is equal in which the order of 30 June 1908 has been passed, it will be seen that equivalent to Such a recommendation was advocated by the D.C. so far back as 1908. and the Dr. himself voted it objection it explaining that: He then took as follows:-

Para 5 of letter - Fl. i

This, in other words, amounts to handing over the land endowed by a separate individual to the petitioner. to be enjoyed in perpetuity for mere assessment without any Mychara or himself to pay for the land or render any service in the Temple. The institution has thereby to depend upon the Kandayam alone instead of enjoying all the income from the land assigned to it. This arrangement will lead to the land passing into other hands also. To avoid all these complications it seems fair that a lump sum of Rs. in Cash might be stipulated and in addition to local Cundrahs not less than Hotmies as much as the assessment and grant a lease for 20 years the renewal at similar periods afterwards of the Cundrahs of the lease are strictly acted up to."

G. R. S. III-2j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 479 of 1916.

Serial No.	
H. V.	It was on this report of the Dr that the pet wanted the lease for an amount equivalent to 7½ times the assessment
	In Feb 1909, the Krishnajois again appealed to the Govt for the permanent grant of the lands & their for the assessment amount of Rs 112 -
H. C H. S } with file 424-08	This prayer was declined -
	In these circs - it is proposing that a recommendation for the ~~for~~ permanent ~~to~~ lease of the lands - for the assessment amount of Rs 112 - should new be addressed again for such a grant will be equivalent to giving away the Inam lands, as Kandayam lands to which Any benefit to the Temple but with the rights of the lands passing into other hands -
	Another point to in this Case is - that the Krishnajois have not been paying the lease amount into the Treasy ~~Refunded~~ at all
	On the lease of the lands for a fasli of Rs 168 a year, a Scale of Expenditure was fixed by the

Points on which Orders are required -

I. The Grant of such a lease is altogether undesirable

NOTE—Contn sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 1918.

Serial No.	
	Govt in Proc No 642-3 dy 2nd Sept 1909. It provided for an annual expenditure of Rs 132-8-0 for Puja Sewais, the balance of Rs 24-8-0 being reserved for repairs.
H.T	~~The paper put~~ Krishna Joi has not paid the lease amount year by year regularly. Hence On the other hand it is a statement before the D.C on 2.3.18, Krishna Joi has stated that he has himself conducted the sewas according to the scale fixed & has retained with him a balance of Rs 200 odd. reserved for repairs. And
H.B.	on 12 June 1918; a sum of Rs 220-8-0 the amount reserved for 9 years has been recovered from Krishna Joi & credited to Treasury.
	The proper course was for the Asst to have realised the lease rental of Rs 168 year by year from Krishna Joi; and out of the realizations, the Asst should have paid the Padta-a Expenses according to the scale. This simple procedure has been lost sight of & there is at present no knowing how much Krishna Joi actually spent for the Temple Sewa +

NOTE—Contn sheet.

G. R. S. III-2)

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 1916.

Point no II

@ least, the whole of Rs.

Serial No.

The The Dfoccr may be informed that the putta Amount should be renewed regularly year to year from Krishna Jois & credited to the Temple's and payments for Padrana expenses ~~pan~~ made from the Treasy instead of allowing Krishna Jois to ~~do~~ pay for the sevas @ his ~~for~~ pleasure and pay only Rs24-8-0 ~~to~~ put as the Amount of savings for repairs.

67 As regards the repair of the Temple, it is ~~un~~expedient to leave it into the hands of Krishna Jois. is prepared by the Dfr +. There is no objection to the work being entrusted to Krishna Jois provided he does not claim any ~~reduction~~ deduction of the putta amount as a consideration for any Contribution that he might pay for the work +.

AMP 5-3-20

To Secy)

Point no III

Yes

Point no IV

No further orders are now necessary

PS. The question about the removal of the idol to a Temple to be newly built in Saravanapatam has been finally disposed of. & no further orders are called for

AMP 5-3-20

To Secy)

NOTE—Contn sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mysore File No. 429 of 1916.

Serial No.

5728-112
5.3.20

Second Member U.J. 5/3/20

(1) The recommendation of the Local officers that the pooja of the lands may be made out in the name of Krishnajois as prayed for cannot be accepted. At the inam settlement the S Nos were reserved from Doshmadaya & Devadaya, & such they continue to be. There is absolutely no scheme or reason why these pahani shd be made out in the name of Krishnajois, with the possibilities & the probabilities of the properties passing into others hands. This will be contrary to the intentions of the original benefactor & cannot be achieved. It will not be in the interests of the temple & the religious public.

(2) The lease amount, as fixed in the G.O. of 1908 shd be reserved. Every year beginning by the Amildar, from the 1882, credits & disbursements made therefrom strictly to the scale of Expenditure laid down in the institution.

(3) Whenever the temple stands in need of repairs, a detailed estimate shd be got prepared in the usual course, & sanction obtained & then the work got done. If it commends itself to the local authorities, the contract for the work may be given & the Expenditure incurred on account shd

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 191 6.

Serial No.

met. in the usual course from the funds at the Credit of the Institution, to the extent possible.

Peel
6-3-20.

Draft 16/3/20
AMT 16/3

u v
6/3

Submits bills as revised Dft. sd. as per u secty instructions.

M 8/3/20 8/3/20
M 10/3/20 For re-draft. Chit file as revised.

AMT 15/3/20

Typed Dft submitted
M 15/3/20 15/3/20 AMT 16/3

Secy)

A draft is submitted
Sec Secretary) WJ 16/3/20

Draft approved
Peel
17.3.20.

Issue
17/3/20
AMT
17.3

5977-30
16-3-20.

NOTE—Contn sheet

1689

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 429 of 1916.

Serial No.	
8	To, by. Commr. Mysore Go. No 3265 d. 25.3.20. Directs that the lease amount fixed in the G.O. d. 30th June 1908 on the lands endowed to be recovered from Hindus in the yearly year by year.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

DIARY No. 876

19 NOV 17
429-16
1

13-12-4

ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದೆ —

ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಪ್ರತಿ ಇದರೊಂದಿಗೆ ಲಗತ್ತ

ಮಾಲಿಕೆಗೆಲ್ಲಿಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಸಾಮಗ್ರಿ

(ಇಂದು ದಿನಾಂಕ 29 ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ಸೋಮವಾರ 1916 ಸಾಲಿನ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಮಾತ್ರ ಇಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಉಳಿದವು ಮುಂದಿನ ಸಂಚಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗುವವು.)

ಮಾರ್ಚಿ 20ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಸಂಚಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಯ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ. 1917 ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಒಟ್ಟು 112. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 26, ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 86.

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಭಾಷೆಗಳ ಲೆಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ:—

ಕನ್ನಡ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ಒಟ್ಟು 26. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 3, ವಾರಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 19, ಪಾಕ್ಷಿಕಗಳು 2, ಮಾಸಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 2.

ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ಒಟ್ಟು 86. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 4, ವಾರಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 38, ಪಾಕ್ಷಿಕಗಳು 3, ಮಾಸಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 41.

ಮಾರ್ಚಿ 20ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಸಂಚಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ ಪತ್ರಿಕಾಸಾಮಗ್ರಿಯ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ. 1917 ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾದ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಒಟ್ಟು 112. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕನ್ನಡ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 26, ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 86.

ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಭಾಷೆಗಳ ಲೆಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಕಟವಾಗಿರುವ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ವಿವರಗಳು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತಿವೆ:—

ಕನ್ನಡ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ಒಟ್ಟು 26. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 3, ವಾರಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 19, ಪಾಕ್ಷಿಕಗಳು 2, ಮಾಸಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 2.

ಇಂಗ್ಲಿಷ್ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು ಒಟ್ಟು 86. ಅವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 4, ವಾರಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 38, ಪಾಕ್ಷಿಕಗಳು 3, ಮಾಸಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳು 41.

BEN. & REV. SECT.
1 DEC 1916

29.11.1916

By post.
1/12.16.
Br VIII
file

W.P. No.

C

In the matter of petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issue of a writ in the nature of mandamus

Shri Ram Sarup Kohli, aged about 40 years, son of Shri Ram Lal Kohli, resident of Ambala City, Ambala District, Punjab.

Petitioner

Versus

(1) The Union of India through the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Rehabilitation, New Delhi.

(2) The Chief Commissioner, Himachal Pradesh, Simla.

(3) The Deputy Commissioner, Simla.

Respondents

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issue of a writ in the nature of mandamus.

The petitioner most respectfully submits as under:—

1. That the petitioner is a displaced person from West Pakistan and is a citizen of India. He is a refugee from Rawalpindi District in West Pakistan. He is a displaced person as defined in the Displaced Persons (Compensation and Rehabilitation) Act, 1954 (hereinafter referred to as the Act) and the rules framed thereunder.

2. That the petitioner was allotted land in Rawalpindi District in West Pakistan in lieu of the land left by him in that area. He was also allotted land in Simla District in lieu of the land left by him in Rawalpindi District. He was allotted land in Simla District in the village Kaithu, Tehsil Simla, Simla District, Punjab. The petitioner was allotted land measuring 1 kanal 16 marlas in Simla District in lieu of the land left by him in Rawalpindi District

(3) ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರ ವಿವರಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕು.

ಮೇಲಿನಂತೆ ಕಾರ್ಯವಿಧಾನವನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸಹಿ/-

31-11-1916

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ

ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾಸನಕಂಡಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 47 ರಲ್ಲಿ 1916 ಫಸಲಿನ ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು 3 ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪಡ ಜಮೀನಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಯಾರೂ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ನಾನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು, ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ನನಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸಹಿ/-

31-11-1916

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಮಿಷನರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ

ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ.

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಕೊಪ್ಪಳ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಕಾಸನಕಂಡಿ ಗ್ರಾಮದ ಸರ್ವೆ ನಂ. 47 ರಲ್ಲಿ 1916 ಫಸಲಿನ ಸಾಲಿಗೆ ಸುಮಾರು 3 ಎಕರೆ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿ ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಈ ಜಮೀನು ಸರ್ಕಾರಿ ಪಡ ಜಮೀನಾಗಿದ್ದು, ಇದುವರೆಗೂ ಯಾರೂ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಈ ಜಮೀನಿನ ಪಕ್ಕದಲ್ಲಿಯೇ ನಾನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದು, ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗಿರುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಜಮೀನನ್ನು ನನಗೆ ಸಾಗುವಳಿ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

ಇಂತಿ ತಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸಹಿ/-

Sec.
2 FEB 1917
G.R.S. 20]

SUBJECT... Calls for his opinion in the matter of Kilari Krishna Dro's proposals & the removal of the Moolavaroo of Sree Prasanna Manjunadeswara Swami at Subrayan Pekha in Srungapatam

Diary No. of letter replied to

Section Miscl
File No. 429-16
Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the *191* .

No 2008 Ens

Date of issue 8 2. 917

To

The Dy: Comr

Signed by M G Narasimmaiengar

Mysore Dist,

Sir,

In forwarding herewith petn regis: no: 876 of 29.11.16, received here one Kilari Krishna Dro of Srirangapatam praying sanction to the removal of the Moolavaroo of Sree Prasanna Manjunaths

(12) -Vara

vara Swami Temple
at Subrayan Kotta at
Srivaikuntam in view
of the damaged condition
of the temple and also
praying for certain
concessions as regards
the Inam lands endowed
to the shrine, I am
directed to request
the favor of your
opinion as regards
the feasibility & reasonable-
-ness of the petitioners'
prayer in this respect.
in the matter.
An early reply is requested.

Yours,

Sec
1.2.17

and for Secretary
31/1/17 Land Revenue Dept
Offg)

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Sec
31.1.17

GPB—20,000—11 2 11

ಠಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

ಹೋಬಳಿ

ಸ್ವಾಮ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆ ಹುಕುಂ.

ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕ್ಕೊಂಡ 29.11.16 ರಲ್ಲಿ

ಮುಂದ್ಕಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಠಿಕಾಣಿಲ್ಲ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

By Order,

1.2.17

Secretary

31/1/17

ಠಿಪ್ಪ

31.1.17

GOVT. OF H. H. THE
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

No

The accompanying Petition Registered No dated received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from

is forwarded, together with its enclosures, to the _____ for _____

By Order,

Secretary.

BANGALORE,
_____ 191 .

P. T. O.

W D 882 -GPB—1 000—10 11-15



1917

From

The Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

The Joint Secretary to Govt.,
Muzrai Department,
Bangalore.

Dated 27th September 1917.

Despatched 28th September 1917.

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits report on the petition from Krishnajois of Seringapatam soliciting sanction to the removal of the Leelaveroo of Sri Prasanna Manjundeswaraswami Temple at Subrayankoppalu in Seringapatam.

Ref. No. 625 of 16-17 Muz.

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

Dated, Mysore, 27th September 1917.

From

K. Matthan, Esquire, B.A.,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

To

G. Aravamudu Iyengar, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,

Joint Secretary to the Government of His

Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 2008/429-16-2 dated 8th February 1917 forwarding for report petition from Krishna Jois of Seringapatam soliciting sanction to the removal of the Moolavaroo of Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami Temple at Subrayankoppal at Seringapatam in view of the damaged condition of the temple and also praying for certain concessions as regards the Inam lands endowed to the Institution etc, I have the honor to submit herewith correspondence ending with the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's Yadi No. 58 dated 6th September 1917 and to state as follows:-

From the records it will be seen that the Sub Division Officer is not in favour of allowing the removal of the Moolavaroo. I concur with him in his views.

In this connection, I have to add that the lands endowed to the temple were leased out to the petitioner for an annual gutta of Rs.168/- for 20 years as directed in Government Order No.5904-5 dated 30th June 1908, and a scale of expenditure was sanctioned by Government as per margin in their Order No. 642-3 dated 2nd September 1909 making a provision

ESTT.	Nitya Katle.	Hetchu Katle.	Repairs.
Rs.60.	66/-	17-8-0	24-8-0

= Rs.168/- per annum.

provision of Rs.24-8-0 for annual repairs. It is now reported by the Amildar that the petitioner did not execute the necessary lease deed in favour of Government and that the prescribed gutta was not also paid by him. But it is said that he has employed his own man on a pay of Rs.10/- per mensem for conducting services in the Temple. The institution has now fallen into disrepair.

The Sub Division Officer states that the management of the temple affairs by the petitioner appears to be rather unsatisfactory, and that he may be called upon to shew cause why he should not be deprived of the management, but he has not explained the circumstances under which the lease deed was not obtained and the rent not recovered from the petitioner since the date of the order.

I have therefore issued instructions to the Sub Division Officer of French Rocks to call upon the petitioner to shew -- cause why he should not be deprived of the management of the temple and the lands endowed therefor in view of the fact that he has not executed the necessary lease deed and paid the gutta amount due to Government, and on account of the unsatisfactory condition in which the building is kept and the affairs are managed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District.

The Dy. Commrs.
Report in the mis-manag
of Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara
Swami Temple at Subray
S-Patam taluk. (25

File No. 429

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to 6 & 29

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
( )

, *the* 191

READ—

Petition regd no 876 dy 29th Nov 1916 from ~~Kolar~~ Krishna Jois of Seringapatam praying for permission for the Removal

Date of issue_____

Signed by_____

ORDER THEREON.—No.

of the Mulavaram of Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami temple at Subrayanakoppal to a new temple building to be constructed at Seringapatam

over

May this be

i printed?

ii treated as a standing order?

iii published in the Gazette English part?

iv translated?

v placed at the disposal of the Press?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part?

SUBJECT.

GPB—50,000—1-12-12

Letter no 625 Dt 27th Septr 1917 from the Dy Commr, Mysore Dt, reporting that the local ~~authorities~~ Officers are not in favor of the removal of the mokhasa of Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswara Swami temple, that though the temple lands were leased out to the petr for 20 years in June 1908, ~~the latter~~ he has ~~not only~~ not only failed to execute the necessary lease deed in favor of Supt, ~~but~~ and ~~did not~~ but also to pay the prescribed futtah; and that the temple building has fallen into disrepair, and adding that he has issued instructions to the Sub Divl officer of Dr Rocks to call upon the petr to show cause why he should not be deprived of the management of the temple and its inam land

Orders thereon — Pc

1884 Exn Vol Proc Dy
Inam 479-16-4
19.1.18

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

Recorded.

The Dy: Comr: is requested to make further report on the final action taken by him in the matter, ~~is awaited.~~

DOF
8/1
7.1.18

for Joint Secy,
Mucz. Dept.

To,

The Dy. Comr, Mysore

Dist, relng. the record.

WD 0463—GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—S.

1917

492

19 20

Mar.

164 — ff 4, 29-16

5

From The deputy
Mysore district

12 fd To The Secretary to the Govt
Mysore
Mercantile
Bangalore

Dated 5th September 19

Despatched 6th September 19.

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits further in
re the affairs of Sri Prasanna
Ramjunadeswara Swamy Devasthanam
at Somalapura — & R. passed

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

Mysore District,

Mysore, dated the ~~30th August~~ 5th September 1919.

From

C. P. Loring, Esquire,

Deputy Commissioner,

Mysore District

To

T. Ramaswamaiya, Esquire, M.A., B.L.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No.1684 Muz.429-16-4 dated 11th January 1918 calling for further information re the affairs of Sri Prasanna Venkatesvaraswamy temple at Subbarayanakoppal in the Seringapatam taluk, I have the honor to state that the Amildar in his letter No.17 dated 15-7-1918 reports that Krishnajois the lessee of the Inam lands belonging to the temple has paid up the contribution of Rs.220-8-0 towards the repairs of the temple due for the years from 1906-09 to 1917-18 as prescribed in Government Order No.642-5 M.R.61-09-2 dated 2nd September 1909, that the same has been credited to the temple funds and that Krishnajois has also executed a mutchalika to the effect that he would pay a cuttu of Rs.168/- per annum for the lands which are in his possession and enjoyment.

As the temple is in urgent need of repairs, an estimate has been got up for Rs.1300/- and Krishnajois has under mutchalika agreed to get the repairs done at his own cost if permitted or to pay up the estimated amount if so required. He further prays that in view of the fact that he is going to pay Rs.1300/- in addition to the amount he has already contributed for the repairs of the temple and that he has also on a former occassion spent a sum of Rs.1600 for improving the temple lands,

B

lands the gutta may be permanently reduced to Rs.112 - which is equal to the single assessment on the said lands.

The Amildar, in reporting that the lessee is responsible, recommends the reduction of the gutta to Rs.112/- the jodi etc on the lands being paid by the lessee himself as usual. The Sub Division Officer, Trincomalee has submitted the records for favourable consideration.

Under these circumstances I request that early orders of Government may be obtained in the matter.

The vernacular file of correspondence ending with the French Rocks Sub Division Officer's No.J.35 of 16-19 dated 31st July 1918 is herewith returned for reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Government Agent,

Eastern Province.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT..... ....

. .. .

....

Diary No. of letter replied to..... .. .. ..

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,
( )
, the 191 .

Date of issue..... 7. 1. 20 .....

J. E Jayarama Aiyar Rs

Signed by.... ....

Section Inam
File No. 474-16
Serial No. 6.

No. 1974 Incomplete
bundle of Records

To The Dy. Commr,
Mysore Dist,

Sir,

According to your order No. 625 Dy 6-9-19 submitting a further report on the affairs of Sri Prasanna Venkateswara Swami temple at Subrayanahoppa, Seringapatam Taluk, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to forward for reference

a copy of your office letter
No. 449 dy 8th May 1908 which
is referred to in G.O. No. 5904-S
dy 30-6-1908 sanctioning the
Dy. Comm's. recommendation
to lease out the temple
land to Arekah Rithna
Jois for a period of 20 years.

(2) The papers are returned for
ref. & return.

I have etc.

WF 3/1/21

WD for Secretary,
Mus Depy

WD
23/1/21

List of enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

WD 86—GPB—60,000—15 11 16

WD 0163- GPB—3,00,000—21-7-17—B.

1489

D 8 20

1914-15.

From The Deputy Commissioner Mysore district,

2:12:19

To The Secretary to the Government of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Mysore Residency, Bangalore

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.
No.

Enclosure No.

Submits Copy of letter No 449 dated 11th May 1908 in connection with the affairs of the Sri Parakala Mathamu and Srikaryanakoppala Seringapatam Taluk

Office of the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

Dated Mysore, 31st January 1920.

From

P. F. Bowring, Esquire, J.P.,
Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

T. E. Jayarama Iyer, Esquire, B.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

I have the honor to submit herewith a copy of this office letter No. 449 dated the 8th May 1908 addressed to you, as desired in your letter No. 1974/Muz. 429-16-6 dated the 7th January 1920.

The vernacular papers received with your letter under reply are herewith resubmitted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Letter No. 449 dated the 8th May 1908 dated the 8th May 1908 from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Muzrai Department, Bangalore.

"In reply to your docket No. 2030 dated the 20th October 1907 forwarding for report petition (herewith retransmitted) from Krishna Jois requesting that he may be allowed to pay in cash the assessment etc., on the Inam lands endowed to the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami temple in Subbarayanakoppalu at Seringapatam retaining with him the possession and enjoyment of the Inam lands, I have the honor to state as follows:-

2. From the records herewith submitted it will be observed that the Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami temple in question was built by one - Seethapur Nanjundayya who endowed the temple with the marginally noted wet lands situate in Rangasamudra village of the T.Narsipur Taluk. These lands were originally Brahmadaya and during the Inam Settlement the patta was made in the name of the diety and converted into Deva--daya.

3. Since the year 1836 the lands endowed to the temple have been reported to be in the management and enjoyment of the Petitioner's ancestors and the petitioner is reported to have spent about Rs.1600/- for the improvement of the lands.

4. The petitioner requests that, in consideration of his long en--joyment and the heavy outlay, Government may be pleased to transfer the patta to his name and he be allowed to pay in cash the assessment etc. on the Inam lands, adding that the assessment (Rs. 112-0-0) may be utilized for Padithara and Deeparadhane expenses in the said - temple which may be taken over under Government management.

5. The Sub Division Officer, recommends that the patta of the lands may be allowed to remain in the name of the deity as heretofore that assessment etc. may be ordered to be recovered from the peti--tioner and the Institution taken under Government management. This in other words amounts to handing over the land endowed by a separat

individual to the petitioner to be enjoyed in perpetuity for mere assessment without any obligation on his part to pay for the land or render any service in the temple. The institution has there--fore to depend upon the Kandayam alone instead of enjoying all the income from the land assigned to it. This arrangement will lead to the land passing into other hands also. To avoid all these complications it seems fair that a lump sum gutta in cash might be stipulated according to local conditions not less than 1½ times as much as the assessment and grant a lease for 20 years to be renewed at similar periods afterwards if the conditions of the lease are properly acted upto.

6. As soon as the question of lease is settled by you, arrange--ments will be ~~made~~ made to draw up a memo as to how best the amount realized could be utilized towards the establishment and other charges, the applicant being permitted to accept any office which may be offered to him."

"C o p y",

For Deputy Commissioner,
Mysore District.

Proceedings of the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

---::0::---

(1) Government Order No.5904-5 dated 30th June 1908, sanctioning the lease~~ing~~ e. ~~out~~ to Krishna Jois for a period of 20 years, of the inam lands endowed to Sri Prasanna Nanjundeswaraswami temple in Subrayana Koppalu at Seringapatam on an annual Gutta ~~of~~ of Rs.168 - equivalent to 1½ times the amount of the assessment, ~~viz., Rs.168~~/-.

(2) Government Proceedings No.642-3/Muz.81-09-2 dated 2nd September 1909. - - sanctioning a scale of expenditure in the temple referred to.

(3) Correspondence ending with - - - letter No.625 dated 31st January 1920, --- from the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, regarding the affairs of the temple

*** *** ***

Order No. 3265 Inam Boards
Bangalore 429-16-8.
Dated Bangalore, 29 March 1920.

In Proceedings No.5904-5 dated 30th June 1908, read above, the Government ---- sanctioned the lease of the Inam lands of the Temple to Krishna Jois for a period of 20 years ~~for~~ at an annual rental of Rs.168/- ~~to~~ of the equivalent one and a half times the -- assessment on the lands, in addition to the payment of Rs.26/- on account of Cess, etc payable to the Government. ~~And~~ In a subsequent Order No.642-3/Muz.F.81-09-2 dated

2nd September 1909, the Government sanctioned a scale of expenditure providing Rs.132-8-0 for the regular Puja services in the Temple and reserving the balance of Rs.24-8-0 out of the Gutta income for purposes of repairs.

2. In a letter to Government No. 625 dated 27th September 1917, the Deputy Commissioner reported that Krishna Jois had not executed the necessary deed in respect of the sanctioned lease of the Temple lands and had not also paid the prescribed Gutta and as the Institution had fallen into disrepair, the former stated that he had instructed the Sub-Division Officer to call upon Krishna Jois to shew cause why he should not be deprived of the management of the Temple and of the Temple lands held by him. ~~The Deputy Commissioner further reported matters.~~

3. The Deputy Commissioner now reports that Krishna Jois ~~has~~ executed a mutchalika before the Amildar on the 12th July 1918, agreeing to pay the Gutta amount annually until the 1927-28 when the period of lease fell ~~the lease period in the year 1927-28~~ dated June in the Government Order ~~of~~ 30th July 1908 read above and that he has further paid would expire into the Treasury a sum of Rs.220-8-0, being the accumulated ~~annual accumulated revenue for repairs for a period of 9 years~~ made during a period of nine years on account of repairs and that he represents that he has in that he had expended the balance for the conduct of Puja services in the Temple.

4. In regard to the lease of the lands, the Deputy Commissioner submits for orders of the Government a recommendation by the Amildar that the Gutta may be permanently reduced to Rs.112/- a year, which is the amount of assessment payable to the Government on the lands, in consideration of Krishna Jois having, on a former occasion, spent Rs.1,600/- on the repairs of the Temple, and of his present offer either to carry out the repairs now needed to the Temple and estimated to cost Rs.1,300/- or to pay up at once the amount of the estimate. The Government regret that this recommendation cannot be accepted. The Deputy Commissioner's attention is ~~drawn~~ invited to para 5 of his letter to Government No.449 dated 4th May 1908, wherein he has himself pointed out the inexpediency of granting such a permanent lease which had then been recommended by the Sub-Division Officer of French-Rocks.

5. The lease amount as fixed in the Government Order of 30th June 1908, must be recovered every year regularly by the Amildar from the lessee and credited to the Temple and disbursements should be made therefrom agreeably to the scale of expenditure laid down for the Institution in the Proceedings of 2nd September 1909 read above.

6. If the Temple stands in need of repairs a regular estimate should be got prepared in the usual course, sanction to it obtained and then the work got done

If it commends itself to the local authorities the contract may be given to the lessee.

7. All expenditure incurred on --- account of the Temple should be met in the usual course from the funds at the credit of the Institution to the extent possible.

16/3/20

Secretary to Government,
Muzrai Department.

Recd 17.3.20

15/3/20 15/3

To

The Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, returning the records

- - - - - - - - - - -

HRR.

శ్రీరంగపట్నపు తాలూకాలో అమలుదారులకు
శ్రీరంగపట్నపు సుంకాల రిసాలా రద్దు చేస్తూ ఇచ్చిన
కుబరడు చేశామేమిటి కే. అదని

శ్రీరంగపట్నపు తాలూకాకు సుబ్బారాయుడుగారి శ్రీకృష్ణ
శేషగిరిరావు అంగంగా శ్రీరంగపట్నపు దర్బారుకి వచ్చి వినిపించి
శ్రీరంగపట్నపు దర్బారు నుండి మమ్మద్ కాసిం దర్బారు
నంబరు 104-115-233-235-120 వేసి కంపెనీ
పట్టుకొని-తే 17 నుండి 5 లక్షల 112-00
లకు ఇవ్వాలంటే మళ్ళీ మళ్ళీ వేసి కంపెనీ
తే 17 నుండి 5 లక్షల 112-00 లకు ఇవ్వాలంటే
30-6-0 లేదా 5 904-5 నెల్లలు ఇవ్వాలంటే
రాజకీయాలలో వేరు రాజులు లేకపోవడం
చాలా మంచిది కాని మనకు 1928 లో నాలుగు
రాజులు ఉన్నారు కాబట్టి వారి
దగ్గర కొన్ని పట్టాలు తీసుకొని వారి
పట్టాలు ఇవ్వడానికి వచ్చాము

Before me.
Sd/- K. Subbarao
12-2-1918